मुख्यालय / Headquarters सीमा सडक महानिदेशक Director General Border Roads (सूचना का अधिकार प्रकोष्ट / RTI Cell)

### **THIRD PARTY TRANSPARENCY AUDIT 2023-24**

- 1. Reference your Cell ION No. 24706/BRO Website/DGBR/58/EDP dated 05 Dec 2024.
- 2. The under mentioned data/details pertaining to RTI Cell are forwarded herewith in Pdf format through E-Mail/Hard copy for uploading/updating on BRO website please:-
  - (a) Summary of RTI request and appeal 2024-25
  - (b) Disposal of RTI request and appeal 2024-25
  - (c) Updated details of CPIO/FAA
  - (d) Details of FAA&CPIO of HQ DGBR wef Jan 2015
  - (e) Important CIC order with its index
  - (f) RTI policy letter with its index

(Sanjan Kumar Mishra)

ÈE(É&M)

Nodal Officer (RTI)

HQ DGBR

Encls: 33 sheets

DGBR/EDP Cell

# RTI Request & Appeal Management Information System(RTI-MIS)

HOME SEARCH ASSESSMENT MASTER UPDATION ANNUAL RETURN UTILITIES REPORT LOGIN HISTORY LOGOUT

## RTI REQUEST(S) APPLICATION RECEIVED DURING THE PERIOD - 01/04/2024 TO 13/12/2024

Public Authority: B	order Road Orga	anisation Role	: Nodal Offic	er <b>User</b>	: Sanjay Kuma	r Mishra	6.
							Prin
R	TI Request(s)	application received	during the	period - 01/04/2	2024 to 13/12	/2024	
		Border	Road Organ	isation			
Duration of Report	Request(s)	Initial Action to be		Request(s) D	isposed of		Pending
	Received	Taken	Rejected	Information Provided (4)	Transferred to other Public Authority	Returned To Applicant (5)	Request(s
April 1st 2024 to December 13th 2024	527	2	39	303	98	67	18

	Border Road	Organisation	
	9 p		1 1 1 1
Duration of Report	Informa	ation provided for RTI R	lequest
	Total Request(s) Disposed of	Within 30 days	After 30 days
April 1st 2024 to December 13th 2024	507	475	32

RTI Appo	eal(s) appl		ed during the pe er Road Organis		024 to 13/1	.2/2024
Duration of Report	Opening Balance (1)	Appeal(s) Received (2)	Initial Action to be Taken (3)	Appeal(s) Dis Information Provided (4)	Returned To Applicant (5)	(6)=(1)+(2)-
April 1st 2024 to December 13th 2024	0	48	0	35	6	7

	Border Road	Organisation	
Duration of Report	Inform	nation provided for RTI A	Appeal
	Total Appeal(s) Disposed of	Within 30 days	After 30 days
April 1st 2024 to December 13th 2024	41	33	8

# MONTHLY UPDATION: LIST OF CPIO/PIOS & APPELLATE AUTHORITY: HQ DGBR AND OTHER PROJECTS

	Hatters)			0,000						
	(Complete	2422342	2222113	C/O 99 APO	10			OAC		
1,	RTI	0368-	0368-	HQ CE (P)	JD	Male	1	Shri VK Banerjee,	2	06.
	matters)	7.07.07.0		C/O 99 APO					Brahmank	
19.	RTI	0368-	0368-	HQ CE (P)	Dir	Male	Col LRJ Robert	-	HO CE (P)	05.
								K	PROJECT BRAHMANK	PROJ
	(Complete matters)	0360- 2953213	0360- 2953214 - 2953215	HQ CE (P) Arunank, C/O 99 APO	Ď	Male	i	Shri Indresh Kumar, SAO	Arunank	04
* * *	(Complete matters)	0360-     (C)   2953214	0360- 2953219 Mob- 9482603777	HQ CE (P) Arunank, C/O 99 APO	Dir	Male	H. Murthy, SE (Civ)	1	HQ CE (P)	03
							*		PROJECT ARUNANK	PROJ
								PROJECT LEVEL		
	(Complete matters)	011- 25684632	011- 25687112	Seema Sadak Bhawan, Ring Road, Delhi Cantt, New Delhi	Jt Dir (Pers) HQ DGBR	Male	1	Shri Sanjay Kumar Mishra, EE (E&M)		02.
	(Complete matters)	011±000080 25686860	011- 25686863	Seema Sadak Bhawan, Ring Road, Delhi Cantt, New Delhi	Dir (Pers), HQ DGBR	Male	Col Siddharth Ranjan	1	HQ DGBR	01.
43	Subject	Fax No.	Phone No.	Address	Designation	Sex	Name of Appellate Authy	Name of the CPIO at HQ DGBR	Name of Public Authy	S/No

Contd...P/2

07.	HO CE (P)		Shri Deepak Kumar, SE (E&M)	Male	Director (EME)	1	HQ CE (P) Beacon, C/O 56 APO	HQ CE (P) Beacon, 0194-2952708 C/O 56 APO Mob.	
08.	Beacon	Shri PKN	1	Male	JD	) T	HQ CE (P) Beacon,		
PROJ	PROJECT DANTAK								
09.	HQ CE (P)		Col Prerit Rawat	Male	Dir (Wks & Plg)	OI	HQ CE (P) Dantak, C/O 99 APO	IQ CE (P) Dantak,   0097517479917 ;/O 99 APO	
10.	Dantak	(1) Shri Harsh Bardhan, AO (Pers Matter) (2) Lt Col Sandeep Saxena (E4 Matter) (3)Shri Kundan Kant, EE (Civ)	<b>1</b>	Male	SO2 (Pers) JD (EME) JD (Wks)	0.7	HQ CE (P) Dantak, C/O 99 APO	1Q CE (P) Dantak, 009752351086 C/O 99 APO	
PROJ	PROJECT HIRAK					-			
. 1	HQ CE (P)	1	Shri Atul Kumar Gupta, SE (Civ)	Male	Dir (Wks)	OI	HQ Hirak, C/O 56 APO	Q Hirak, 05943-265873 /O 56 APO	ŏ
12.	Hirak	Lt Col Akshay S Inamdar	•	Male	JD	ΩI	HQ Hirak, C/O 56 APO	Q Hirak, 05943-265873 /O 56 APO	0
PROJ	PROJECT CHETAK								
13.	HQ CE (P) Chetak		Shri Nikesh Chandra, SE (Civ)	Male	Dir	C/Q E/Q	HQ CE (P) Chetak, C/O 56 APO	CE (P) Chetak, 0151-2250390, 56 APO 2250391	
14.		Shri Rajnandan, EE (Civ)		Male	JD	O'C H O	CE (P) Chetak,	CE (P) Chetak, 0151-2252396,	HQ CE (P) Chetak, 0151-2252396, 0151-2250589

Z	KOSEC SEWAN								
ंद्रो		ı	Shri Suraj Kumar Gupta, SE (E&M)	Male	Dir (EME)	HQ CE (P) Sewak, C/O 99 APO	03862-	03862- 248232	RTI (Complete matters)
16.	HQ CE (P)	Shri GP Baniya,		Male	JD	HQ CE (P) Sewak,	03862-	03862-	RTI
	O C Wak	EE (CIV)		,		C/0 99 APO	9435558969	248232	(Complete matters)
PROJ	PROJECT SAMPARK								
17.	HQ CE (P)	1	Shri Chaman Lal, SE (Civ)	Male		HQ CE (P) Sampark, C/O 56 APO	0191- 2480445	2480306	RTI (Complete matters)
. ⇔	Sampark	Shri VR Racharla, SAO	1	Male	Jt Dir (Pers)	rs) C/O 56 APO	0191- 2480445 2484882	0191- 2480306	RTI (Complete matters)
PROJ	PROJECT SHIVALIK								
19.	HQ CE (P)	1	Shri MS Manjunatha Naik, SE (Civ)	Male	SE (Civ)	HQ CE (P) Shivalik, C/O 56 APO	0135-2455607 Mob-	607. 0135- 2455301	RTI (Complete matters)
20.	Shivalik	Shri BL Meena, SAO	•	Male	JD (Pers)	HQ CE (P) Shivalik, C/O 56 APO	0135-2455303 Mob- 0876075085	3 0135- 2455301	RTI (Complete

29 Rohtand		PROJECT YOJAK	27 Udayak	26. HQ CE (P)	PROJECT UDAYAK	25.			23.	EBW	2	ASTERN BA
Shri Roshan Kumar Singh , EE(Civ)	(P)	JAK	Shri Anil Kumar P, SAO		AYAK		EE (E&M) (Pers	(Production Info)	Col Nandini	Pandey (Wks info)		EASTERN BASE WORKSHOP
1	Col Vikas Gulia		1	Col S Deepak			1		•		Shri Sunil Kumar, Sinha, SE (E&M)	
Male	Male		Male	Male			Male	-	Male	Male	Male	
EE (Civ)	Col	100	JD (Pers)	Col		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	EE (E&M)		Col	00	SE (E&M)	
HQ CE (P) YojakTunnel, C/O 56 APO	HQ CE (P) Yojak, C/O 56 APO	1	C/O 99 APO	HQ CE (P) Udayak, C/O 99 APO			Workshop (GREF), C/O 99 APO	Workshop (GREF), C/O 99 APO	Eastern Base	Workshop (GREF), C/O 99 APO	Eastern Base Workshop (GREF), C/O 99 APO	
01902- 256075	01902- 256075		03759-296305 Mob- 7002855029	03759- 2242096 Mob- 8493987203			03/12-255/08		03712-295739	03/12-295/06	03712-295711	
01902- 256075	01902- 256075		03759- 249613	03759- 249613			255307			255307	03712- 295711	
RTI (Complete matters)	RTI (Complete matters)		RTI (Complete matters)	RTI (Complete matters)			matters)	(Production matters)	RTI	matters)	(Complete matters)	

Cont P/05

Õ	PROJECT VIJAYAK				3	T TO A TAKE			
30	2	1	Shri Chandraket Kumar SE (Civ)	Male	SE (Civ)	HQ CE (P) Vijayak,	01985- Shr	019854-ako	RTI (Complete
	HQ CE (P)	9			test.		Mob-		matters)
್ಲ		Shri Binod Singh,		Male	JD (Pers)	pelal attra seri seri	2	01985-	RI
		SAO				C/O 56 APO 860	Mob-	234121	(Complete
							9041428083		matters)

PRO	PROJECT SWASTIK						MILSOMS 133			
32			Shri Anuraag Jasraaj Singh, SE	Male	D	83	HQ CE (P) Swastik, C/O 99 APO	03592- Sh	Sh 03592-33	RTI (Complete
	HQ CE (P) Swastik	. 7	(Civ)					0133	Age of the second	
္သ		Lt Col Nitin Kumar		Male	Ь		HQ CE (P) Swastik, Son	03592-15- 259208 Mob-	03592- 229210	RTI (Complete
								9592977753		matters
PRO	PROJECT PUSHPAK					And Section 1				
34	HQ CE (P)	#	Col DV Singh	Male	D	رين چيد	HQ CE (P) Pushpak, C/O 99 APO	03892351424, 2351425 Mob-	03892351424, 03892351908 2351425 Mob-	RTI (Complete matters)
35		Shri Devender Kumar, EE (Civ)		Male	EE (Civ)	Civ)	HQ CE (P) Pushpak, C/O 99 APO	9650737038	03892351908	RTI (Complete
PRO.	PROJECT DEEPAK						NAMES OF			
36	HO CE (P)	1	Shri KK Sharma, SE (Civ)	Male	먀	Ž,	HQ CE (P) Deepak, C/O 56 APO	01772830994- Mob-	01772830991 01772633966 Mob- 9503439688	RTI (Complete
37	Doopok	Shri Shushil		Male	EE(Civ)	Xiv)	HQ CE (P) Deepak,	01772830991	01772633966	RT
	Dadpan	Mandeo					C/O 56 APO	Mob-		(Complete

		·				THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE		
38 HQ CE (P)	1	Shri Sanjay Narayan, SE (Civ)	Male	Col	HQ CE (P) Himank, C/O 56 APO	01982- 264088	01982- 264474	RTI (Complete matters)
39 Himank	Shri RN Yadav, SAO		Male	JD (Pers)	HQ CE (P) Himank, C/O 56 APO	01982- 264088	01982- 264474	RTI (Complete matters)
PROJECT VARTAK								
40 HQ CE (P)	1	Shri DS Rao, SE (Civ)	Male	Dir	HQ CE (P) Vartak, C/O 99 APO	03712- 221513 Mob- 7351001447	03712- ः इट 225995	RTI (Complete matters)
41 Vartak	Shri Lal Mani Singh, EE (Civ)	1	Male	EE (Civ)	HQ CE (P) Vartak, C/O 99 APO	03712- 221513 Mob- 9910744333	03712- 225995	RTI (Complete matters)
GREF CENTRE				171	*6.			
42 GREF Centre	1	Col HS Ahluwalia	Male	Dy Comdt	GREF Centre, Dighi Camp, Pune-15	020- 27172372	020- 27171231	RTI (Complete matters)
43	Shri Manvendra Kumar, EE (Civ)	1	Male	EE (Civ)	GREF Centre, Dighi Camp, Pune-15	020- 27172372 Mob- 9958535111	020- 271151231	RTI (Complete matters)
GREF RECORDS	**:							
44 GREF		Lt Col Girish Joshi	Male	Lt Col	GREF Records, Dighi Camp, Pune-15	8903454858	020- 27150795	RTI (Complete matters)
45 Records	Shri PRK Gupta, AO		Male	AO	GREF Records, Dighi Camp, Pune-15	020- 27172372 Mob- 8055302524	020- 27150795	RTI (Complete matters)

	NAME AND DETAILS OF FAA & CPIO OF HQ	OF FAA & CP	IO OF HQ DGBR	
S/No.	Name of FAA/CPIO	Pe	Period	Remarks
		From	То	
Earlier	Earlier FAA wef 01 Jan 2015			
<b>→</b>	Brig Pankaj Chouhan, DDG(Pers)	01.01.2015	11.05.2016	
2	Shri PK Mahajan, CE(Civ), VSM, DDG Pers	12.05.2016	12.11.2016	
З	Shri Tapas Das, Dir(Adm)	13.11.2016	31.08.2018	
4	Shri S Suresh Kumar, Dir(Adm)	01.09.2018	14.03.2021	
5	Col PH Reddy, SM* Dir (Pers)	15.03.2021	10.12.2023	
Earlier	Earlier CPIO wef 01 Jan 2015			
_	Shri DK Kashyap, SAO	01.01.2015	03.06.2016	
2	Shri GS Naroo, SAO	04.06.2016	15.01.2017	
ω	Shri KP Choudhari, JD (Adm)	16.01.2017	30.01.2019	
4	Shri HK Patnaik, JD (Adm)	31.01.2019	02.06.2019	
5	Shri SB Sharma, SAO	03.06.2019	26.11.2020	
6	Shri Ashok Kumar, SAO	27.11.2020	05.05.2022	
7	Shri Sunil Kumar, EE(Civ)	06.05.2022	28.05.2024	,
Current FAA	nt FAA	e sy		
_	Col Siddharth Ranjan, Dir (Pers)	11.12.2023	till date	
Curre	Current CPIO			
_	Shri Sanjay Kumar Mishra, EE(E&M)	29.05.2024	till date	

### INDIX OF IMPORTANT JUDGEMENT ON RTI

S/NO	DECISION NO & DATE	Operative part of judgement	PAGE		
			FROM	TO	
1.	CIC decision on File No. CIC /AD/A/ 2012/ 003341-SA dated 07- 01-2014	Every spouse has right to know salary details of Govt employees	01	06	
2.	CIC decision on File No. CIC/ SM/A/ 2013/ 000755-YA dated 07- 07-2014	RTI Act cannot be permitted to be used as a tool for blackmailing or harassing a Public Authority.	07	80	
3.	CIC decision on File No. CIC/SS/C/ 2013/000452-YA dated 01-08-2014	-do-	09	10	

# CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

(Room No.315, B-Wing, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi 110 066)

# File No.CIC/AD/A/2012/003341-SA

(Jyoti Seherawat Vs. Home (General) Dept., GNCTD)

Appellant

Ms.Jyoti Seherawat

Respondent

Home (General) Department

Date of hearing

7.1.2014

Date of decision

7.1.2014

Information Commissioner:

Prof. M. Sridhar Acharyulu

(Madabhushi Sridhar)

Referred Sections :

Sec.4(1)(b)(x),

8(1)(j) and

11(1)

of

the RTI Act.

Result

Appeal allowed

Headnote

- i) Information about the salary of an employee of a public authority is not third party information. Such information has to be voluntarily disclosed u/s 4(1)(b)(x) of the RTI Act.
- ii) Every spouse has a right to information about the particulars of salary of other spouse especially for the purpose of maintenance. More so, wife has a right to know the salary particulars of the husband, who is an employee of the public authority.

**FACTS** 

Heard today dated 7.1.14. Appellant not present. The Public Authority is represented by Shri Anil Agrawal, Supdt. Home (General) Department and Shri Sunil Kumar, Law Officer.

2. The Appellant filed an RTI application dt.2.6.12 with the CPIO, Home General Department, GNCTD seeking the following information with regard to her husband Shri Sudhir Singh Seherawat:

Salary slip for the month of May 2012 including all allowances like TA, DA and HRA.

On not receiving any response, the Appellant filed an appeal dt.11.9.12 with the Appellate Authority. The CPIO replied to the RTI application vide letter dated 18.6.12 stating as follows:

It is informed that information can not be supplied as Shri Sudhir Singh,

Warder 642 has given in writing to this department that any information regarding

him will not be supplied

The CPIO's reply dt.18.6.12 was dispatched to the Appellant on 20.9.12 and received by the Appellant on 23.9.12.

The Appellate Authority disposed of the appeal vide his order dt.26.9.12 upholding the decision of the PIO.

Being aggrieved with the reply, the Applicant filed a second appeal dt.5.10.12 before CIC.

3. During the hearing, the Respondent submitted that information was denied since the third party has objected to its disclosure. He, however, admitted that same does not belong to third party and agreed to disclose the same.

- The Commission in various cases has directed the Public Authority to disclose the salary particulars of its employees to the information seeker irrespective of whether the information seeker is related to the employee or not. Earlier too, CIC has directed public authorities to disclose salary particulars of husband to the wife. For instance, in Ritu Sharma v NTPC No. 1016/IC/(A)/2007 dated 11th July 2007, Prof MM Ansari held that denial of salary information about husband by public authority invoking Section 8(1)(j) was not enable. Under the RTI Act, the public authorities have the obligation to disclose the monthly emoluments paid to their employees. U/s 4(1)(b)(x) of the Act, "the monthly remuneration received by each of its officers and employees, including the system of compensation as provided in its regulations" should be published. A similar order is given by Mrs Sushma Singh (present Chief Information Commissioner) in Smt Rita Aeri v Air India in CIC/SS/A/2010/001145 dated 21.3.2011. In Lakshmi Ramesh Sawekar v Dept of Posts, No.CIC/LS/A/2011/00276, Mr M L Sharma, IC held on 23rd January 2012 that a legally wedded wife is entitled to information about the salary of her husband.
  - 5. The respondent Home Department in this case denied the salary information of the husband, it's employee to his wife. At two stages of RTI, the PIO and Appellate Authority simply considered the salary information as third party; same was also contended by the Law Officer before the Commission.
  - 6. In spite of specific decisions and directions by the CIC, the PIOs and AAs are repeatedly denying the salary particulars to the wife contending that this information belonged to third party, or being a personal information that could be refused under Section 8(1)(j) etc. The PIOs and AAs are disposing of the petitions in a routine manner like general office file, without applying the reasons and their

orders of rejection also disclose no reasons. This also explain the lack of understanding and training on the issues pertaining to access law and various judgments rendered on the subject among the designated officers of Public Authorities.

- Hence this question needs specific explanation for the better understanding of rights and duties and implementation of RTI with reference to this aspect.
  - a) The salary paid to the public servant by the public authority is sourced from the tax paid by the people in general. The scale of salary is also fixed by the Public Authority based on certain reasonable fixation in an open exercise by Pay Revision Commissions which later would be generally approved by the Government, which is the representative of the people. Thus the information belongs to public and they have a right to access to it as per RTI Act. It has to be disclosed under Section 4 voluntarily by the Public Authority and if a member of public seeks it, it cannot be denied.
  - b) The information about salary of employee/officer of the same Public Authority cannot be considered as 'third party information'. The employee of the public authority is part of that public authority and hence he is not the third party. Hence there is no need to obtain the consent of the particular employee for disclosure of that information as provided under the RTI Act, unless it falls under any exception. It may be recalled that even in case of third party information, if the Commission considers the public interest demands, such information can be given in spite of refusal by the third party. Public Authorities cannot reject such RTI applications about salary under the pretext of third party information.
  - c) Based on above two reasons, every member of general public is entitled to know the salary of the employee of public authority. The wife of that particular employee is also entitled to know it as a member of general public. Hence irrespective of her marital status, she has every right to know the particulars of public servant's salary.
  - d) As per the provisions of various personal laws applicable to people of different religions, the husband as an earning member of family has a legal

duty to maintain the wife and children. It is an undisputed fact that the dependents such as wives and children can seek a direction from the Courts of Justice. Even after the divorce, the family law ordains that Husband has a duty to provide for necessary maintenance of the wife and children. Section 125 of Criminal Procedure Code mandated that husband has a general duty to maintain wife and children. The wife's entitlement to know the salary particulars of her husband gets further fortified by all the above legal provisions.

- e) Especially when the wife is seeking the salary particulars of the Husband, from the public authority where he is working as public servant, it is the duty of the public authority to render required assistance by providing necessary information to her to secure justice. Denial of such information to wife is thus, highly unreasonable, not justified and it will also amount to breach of legal obligation.
- f) The maintenance of spouse and children of the family is the legal responsibility of the earning member of the family. Depending upon the situation a husband if dependent or incapable of earning might seek similar information about the salary of the wife, if she is an employee of the public authority.
- g) In most of the cases, the denial of information about salary might amount to denial of justice with mala fide intentions either to harass the spouse or delay the process of justice or to avoid payment of money necessary for maintenance through giving wrong information or denying correct information to the court of law. The public authority or its PIO or AA, cannot, inadvertently be a party to this mala fide denial of justice to the spouse.
- h) In addition to above, under Section 20, Right to Information Act 2005, such a denial of information will be wrongful denial which could incur the penalty.
- 8. In view of the above, Commission directs the PIO to supply the salary slip as sought by the Appellant within one week of receipt of this order.

(M. Sridhar Acharyulu) Information Commissioner

luthenticated true copy

(Tarun Kumar) Additional Registrar

### CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION ROOM NO. 329, SECOND FLOOR, C-WING August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066 Tel. No. 91-11-26717356

F.No. CIC/SM/A/2013/000755-YA

Date of Hearing

03.07.2014

Date of Decision

07.07.2014

**Appellant** 

Shri O.P. Sharma,

C/o 99 APO

Respondent

Shri K. Krishnaswamy, Director

Shri S.K. Geeva, Under Secretary

Min. of Road Transport
Highways, Transport Bhawan
Smt Pratibha, US/CPIO
Dept. of Admn. Reforms
Shri D.K. Sengupta, US
Shri R.K. Giridhar, US

DoPT

Shri A. Chattopadhyay,

Dir./DGBR

Shri D.K. Kashyap, Sr. AO/CPIO/DGBR

Shri Rampal Singh, US/CPIO Shri Ritesh Kavdia, Dir./FAA BRDB Sectt., M/o Defence

New Delhi.

**Information Commissioner** 

Shri Yashovardhan Azad

Relevant fact emerging from appeal:

RTI Application filed on

19.01.2013

PIO replied on

18.02.2013 & 10.03.2013

First Appeal filed on

30.03.2013

First Appellate Authority (FAA) order on

No Order

Second Appeal received on

03.05.2013

.

Decision





The appellant had sought information on action taken on his 20 complaints filed by him between  $29^{th}$  August  $-22^{nd}$  December, 2012.

The CPIO, M/o Personnel, P.G. & Pension, in his reply stated that they have received only five of his applications which have been duly transferred to the concerned depts. for providing information to the appellant. The appellant filed first appeal in BRDB. The FAA did not dispose of the appeal.

The appellant is not present, despite written notice. The respondent from the DoPT stated that the RTI was replied to in time and duly transferred to the concerned Depts. for providing information to the appellant, and has acted as per the RTI act. The respondent, DGBR, at the very outset, highlighted that the appellant has been a habitual petitioner, filing frivolous letters/applications on perceived grievances. He also stated that the applicant himself is facing prosecution on charges of obtaining loan by forging signature of his Officer Commanding.

The respondent, DGBR has made an index of application/appeals/complaints submitted by the appellant. The same is reproduced below.

S.NO	APPLICATION/APPEAL/	YEAR			TOTAL	
	COMPLAIT FILED					
		2011	2012	2013	2014	
1,	HQ DGBR/Cabinet Sectt./ President Sectt., BRDB Sectt./ CIC, etc.	21	17	17	15	70
2.	HQ CE (Project) Arunak	15	5	4	4	20
3.	GREF Centre/Records/	8	3	4	1 .	16
	PAO (GREF), etc.					
4.	HQ CE (Project) Chetak	4.	5	0	0	9
	G/Total	48	30	25	21	124

The respondent also stated that he has filed 191 representations in their office. The respondent stated that most of his letters have been replied to, however, the same have returned as "refused" from the postal dept. He pleaded that the respondent public authority, along with others, is being forced by the appellant to expend their valuable

time and energy in replying to his multiple petitions at considerable cost. In the absence of the appellant, his views could not be ascertained.

The Commission finds that the respondents have responded to the queries of the appellant despite a spate of applications from him. The RTI Act cannot be permitted to be used as a tool for blackmailing or harassing a public authority and in the instant case, 5 public authorities. It is clear from his application that he is a habitual petitioner seeking information on service matters and a number of such petitions have already been adjudicated by the Commission and some dismissed on the same ground. The Commission does not find any merit in this appeal and the same is dismissed.

(Yashovardhan Azad) Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy. Additional copies of orders shall be supplied against application and payment of the charges prescribed under the Act to the CPIO of this Commission.

(Tarun Kumar) Joint Secretary & Additional Registrar



### CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION ROOM NO. 329, SECOND FLOOR, C-WING August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066 Tel. No. 91-11-26717356

F.No.CIC/SS/C/2013/000452-YA F.No.CIC/YA/C/2013/000001

Date of Hearing Date of Decision

01.08.2014 01.08.2014

Complainant

Shri Om Prakash Sharma

Delhi

Respondent

Shri A. Chattopadhya,

Director (Admn.)/CPIO/DGBR Seema Sadak Bhawan, Delhi Shri Rampal Singh, US/CPIO/

BRDB

Border Roads Organization,

Delhi

Information Commissioner

Shri Yashovardhan Azad

Relevant fact emerging from appeal:

RTI Applications filed on PIO replied on

20.06.2013 & 27.08.2013 12.07.2013 & 01.10.2013

First Appeals filed on

29.07.2013 & 05.10.2013

First Appellate Authority (FAA) orders on Complainant received on

No order 19.09.2013 & 11.11.2013

Decision

The complainant had sought information on action taken on his 2 complaints filed by him 05.12.2012 & 23.07.2013.

The CPIO/DGBR in his reply stated that the said representation dt. 05.12.2012 has not been received in the office and the representation dt. 23.07.2013 is under progress for submission of parawise comments to higher authority. The FAA did not dispose of the appeal.

The complainant is not present, despite written notice. The respondent, DGBR, at the very outset, highlighted that the complainant has been a habitual petitioner, filing frivolous letters/applications on perceived grievances. He also stated that the

complainant himself is facing prosecution on charges of obtaining loan by forging signature of his Officer Commanding.

After hearing the parties and on perusal of record, the Commission finds no mala fide on the part of CPIO; the replies to both the RTI applications have been given in time, despite a spate of applications from him. The RTI Act cannot be permitted to be used as a tool for blackmailing or harassing a public authority. It is clear from his applications that he is a habitual petitioner seeking information on service matters and a number of such petitions have already been adjudicated by the Commission and some dismissed on the same ground. The Commission does not find any merit in these complaints and the same are dismissed.

Sd/-(Yashevardhan Azad) Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy. Additional copies of orders shall be supplied against application and payment of the charges prescribed under the Act to the CPIO of this Commission.

Joint Secretary & Additional Registrar

### Copy to:

Central Public Information
Joint Director - (Admn.),
Offg JD/T&C & CPIO,
Seema Sadak Bhawan,
Ring Road, Delhi Cantt,
New Delhi 110010.

Central Public Information Africe 1, DDG- (D & S), HQ DGBR/ADG Sectt Seema Sadak Bhawan, Ring Road, Delhi Cantt, New Delhi 110010.

Shri Om Prakash Sharma JE – (Civil), 1521, RMPL/95 RCC (GREF), C/o. 56 APO, PIN: 930095. First Appellate Authority Chief Engineer - (Civil), HQ DGBR/Pers Dte Seema Sadak Bhawan, Ring Road, Delhi Cantt, New Delhi 110010.

Central Public Information Officer Under Secretary, Secretariat BRDB (GE-I), Sena Bhawan, New Delhi 110011.

# INDIX OF RTI POLICY LETTERS

S/NO	POLICY LETTER NO & DATE	SUBJECT	PAGE	
1.	HQ DGBR letter No. 11022/ DGBR/		FROM	TO
	POL/ 44/ RTI Cell dated 20 Mar 2012 together with CIC order on case No. CIC/SS/A/2011/001705 dated 15-02-2012	Forwarding of CIC decision on RTI matters	01	03
2.	HQ DGBR letter No. 11022/ DGBR/ POL/46/RTI Cell dated 19-06-2012	Appointment of PIO at Project level	04	- Manage
3.	HQ DGBR letter No. 11022/ DGBR/ Policy/87/RTI Cell dated 26-03-2014 together with IHQ of MoD (Army) letter No. B/50001/Policy/MIS(Civ) dated 04-03-2014	Unauthorise use of Govt machinery for seeking information under Right to Information Act	05	06
4.	HQ DGBR letter No.11022 DGBR/ P/ 91/RTI Cell dated 04-08-2014	Misuse of RTI tools for harassing Public Authority	07	
5.	Letter No. 11022/DGBR/P/101/RTI Cell dated 23-04-2015	Implementation of suo-moto disclosure under Sec-4 of RTI Act and guidelines thereof	80	09

pelhi Tele: 011-25687065 Email: bro-pers@.nic.in Website: http://www.bro.gov.in

11022/DGBR/POL/ AA /RTI Cell

Registered Post Headquarters Dte General Border Roads Seema Sadak Bhawan Ring Road, Delhi Cantt New Delhi-110 010

Mar 2012

All Projects /EBW GREF Centre / Record

### SEEKING INFORMATION UNDER RIGHT TO INFORMATION (RTI) ACT 2005

- A copy of Central Information Commission New Delhi Order on Case No. CIC/SS/A/2011/001705 dt 15/02/2012 in the matter titled Shri M Nelson Babu Vs BRO is enclosed herewith for your record and necessary action.
- In terms of Section 24 read with schedule 2 of RTI Act, BRO which is subordinate and executive arm of BRDB is exempted for operation of RTI Act 2005 except the allegation of corruption and human right violation case. However the CIC in various cases had clarified that exempted department shall furnish the information on establishment/administration and general routine nature matters to the information seeker.

Rohtash Kumar) Jt Dir (Adm)

Dte Gen Border Roads



### Central Information Commission Room No. 305, 2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhavan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066 Web: www.cic.gov.in Tel No. 26167931

Case No. CIC/SS/A/2011/001705 Dated: 15.02.2012

Name of Appellant

Shri M. Nelson Babu

Name of Respondent

Border Roads Development Board

Date of Hearing

09.02.2012

### ORDER

The present appeal dated 10.8.2014 of the appellant Shri M. Nelson Babu emanates from his RTI application dated 9.1.2010 filed for seeking certain information from the respondent Border Roads Development Board, New Delhi under the RTI Act, 2005. The matter came up for hearing on 09.02.2012. The appellant was absent and the respondent were represented by Smt. Shashi Prabha, Deputy Secretary, Shri Rohtash Kumar, Joint Director & CPIO, Shri Tapas Das, Joint Director and Shri Rampal Singh, Under Secretary.

- The Commission has considered the submissions made by the parties. The respondent is an exempted organization listed under Schedule II of the RTI Act and, therefore, is not amenable to any provision contained in the RTI Act by virtue of Section 24 of the RTI Act. Furthermore, the information sought by the appellant does not pertain to any violation of human rights and allegations of corruption and, therefore, does not fall under the Proviso (I) of Section 24 of the RTI Act.
  - 3. It has to be appreciated that 'allegation of corruption' does not mean mere conjectures and surmises. Allegations ought to be supported by cogent and sound evidence which can lead the Commission to frame a prima facie view about corrupt or malpractices being involved in any given case. Such element is clearly missing in this second appeal as no evidence or material has been placed by the appellant before the Commission so as to establish prima facie case of corruption. Even anything to

reasonably support the violation of human right is also absent in the appeal preferred by appellant before the Commission.

The Commission is of the view that the information sought for by the appellant, cannot be provided under the RTI Act and, therefore, the present appeal stands dismissed.

(Sushma Singh) Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy:

(K.K. Sharma)
OSD & Deputy Registrar

Address of the parties:

Shri M. Nelson Babu, Nelson Plaza, Kunchen Nadar Compound, Opp- PWD House, PWD Road, Nagarcoil-629001.

The CPIO, Directorate General of Border Roads, Seema Sadak Bhawan, Ring Road, Delhi Cantl, New Delhi-110010

The Appellate Authority,
Border Roads Development Board,
Sectt. BRDB, B Wing, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor,
Sena Bnawan, DHQ PO,
New Delhi-110011.

### RTI MATTERS/MOST URGENT

Registered Post

Selhi Tele: 011-25686962 Email: bro-pers@.nic.in

vvebsite: http://www.bro.gov.in

11022/DGBR/POL/ 46/RTI Cell

(All Projects, GREF Rec/Centre & EBW)

Headquarters
Dte General Border Roads
Seema Sadak Bhawan
Ring Road, Delhi Cantt
New Delhi-110 010

1 9 Jun 2019

# APPOINTMENT OF PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER UNDER RIGHT TO INFORMATION (RTI) ACT 2005

Reference this Dte letter No. 11022/DGBR/POL/39/RTI Cell dated 14 Nov 2011.

- 2. It was clarified under our above stated letter that Public Information Officer (PIO) and Appellate Authority (AA) shall be appointed at Project level. It was also instructed that PIO should be an officer having the rank of field Officer and above similarly Senior Officer in rank to PIO may be appointed as Appellate Authority. However on perusal of details and correspondence, it has been found that junior officer having rank of Capt etc have been appointed as PIO. It revealed that Project/GREF Records/Centre are not adhering the instructions in this regard issued by this HQ.
- 3. It is once again clarified that in order to avoid any complication at later stage, it is instructed that all the information will be furnished by the PIO of Project HQ, GREF Records/Centre and no information should be furnished by junior officer of TF/unit. The PIO if required may call the requisite information from TF/unit or other officer /source.
- 4. For GREF Records only: Wrt their Fax No R-4040/RTI dated 29 May 2012 with a request to re-appoint PIO and AA in terms of this Dte letter quoted at reference.

(Rohtash Kumar) Jt Dir (Admn)

CPIO

Dte Gen Border Roads

Delhi Tele: 011-25686962 Forail: bro pers@nic in

bsite: http://www.bro.gov.in

Be of Be

Registered Post
Headquarters
Dio General Border Ro

Dle General Border Roads Seema Sadak Bhawan Ring Road, Delhi Cantt New Delhi-110 010

26 Mar 2014

11022/DGBR/Policy/

(All Projects) C/O 56/99 APO

HQ Research & Development Estt (BRO) PIN-931723 C/O 99 APO

Eastern Base Workshop(GREF) PIN-931701 C/O 99 APO

GREF Centre/Records Dighi Camp,Pune-15

# RIGHT TO INFORMATION (RTI) ACT 2005: UNAUTHORISED USE OF GOVT MACHINERY

A copy of IHO of MOD (Army), Dte of Coord & Pers/MIS (Civ) Engineer-in-Chief's Branch Karmir House, New Delhi-11 letter No.B/50001/Policy/MIS (Civ) dated 04 Mar 2014, regarding unauthorized use of Govt machinery (ie Govt postal stamps, OIGS, Service Labels and stationery etc) to obtain information under RTI Act, is enclosed herewith for your information and strict compliance please.

2. Kindly disseminate the contents up to platoon level for information and strict compliance.

(Rohtash Kumar) Jt Dir (Adm)

CPIO (

Die Gen Border Roads

26/03

for info and strict compliance please.

Encls: 01 sheet

Copy to :\_

All Dte(s)

Tele: 23016657

REGD POST/SDS
IHQ of MOD (Army)
Dte of Coord & Pers/MIS(Civ)
Engineer-in-Chief's Branch
Room No 127
Kashmir House, Rajaji Marg
New Delhi-110 011

A Mar 2014

B/50001/Policy/MIS(Civ)

Chief Engineers :-

**HQ** Southern Command

**HQ Eastern Command** 

**HQ Western Command** 

**HQ Northern Command** 

**HQ Central Command** 

**HQ South Western Command** 

# RIGHT TO INFORMATION (RTI) ACT - 2005 : UNAUTHORISED USE OF GOVT MACHINERY

- 1. RTI Act-2005 sets out the practical regime for RTI for citizens to secure access to information under the control of public authorities in order to promote transparency and accountability in the working of every public authority.
- 2. This Act gives right to information only to citizens and does not have provisions for giving information to Corporations, Associations, Companies etc which are legal entities/person(s), but not citizens. Hence, the information sought by citizens under RTI is in a personal capacity and not official capacity.
- 3. As a corollary to above, the mechanism used to obtain the information by a citizen is exclusively personal in nature for which the exchequer is not required to be burdened.
- 4. In spite of above, it has been observed with concern that citizens are using Govt machinery (ie Govt Postal Stamps, OIGS, Service Labels and stationery etc) to obtain information under RTI Act. This practice not only causes loss to the state but also defeats the very preamble of the RTI Act-2005.
- 5. In view of the above, it is requested that suitable instructions be issued to lower fmns/units to sensitise the environment on the issue. In case any such application is received under RTI Act, the concerned individual will be asked to make good loss caused to the state.

(R N Jadhav)
Col

Dir MIS (Civ) For E-in-C

Copy to

H@ DGBR, New Delhi Cantt-10 / CME, Pune – 411 031 / Dir (CW & E), R&D Org, 'A' Wing, DRDO Bhawan, New Delhi / ADG D&C Pune / ADG (OF & DRDO) Secunderabad / HQ CEs R&D Secunderabad & Delhi / DGNP Mumbai & Vishakhapatnam

Internal

E-in-C's Sectt / All Dtes

Email: bro-pers@.nic.in

Website: http://www.bro.gov.in

1022/DGBR/P/ 9\ /RTI Cell

1Q CE (P) \_\_\_\_\_, C/O 56/99 APO

Eastern Base Workshop (GREF) PIN 931771, C/O 99 APO

GREF Records/Centre Digh Camp, Pune-15

SEEKING OF INFORMATION UNDER RIGHT TO INFORMATION (RTI) ACT 2005

Registered ISDS Headquarters

Dte General Border Roads Seema Sadak Bhawan

Ring Road, Delhi Cantt

New Delhi-110 010 04 Aug 2014

Right to Information Act 2005 was enacted to bring transparency in the Government functions and for the betterment of democracy. It is an excellent piece of law giving common man an opportunity to know the acts of the various Deptts of the Govt.

However, it is seen that some of our employees are misusing this excellent enactment for harassing the Government authority to sub-serve only personal aggrandizement. Such irresponsible action by some GREF employees are denigrating the dignity of this Organization not only before the CIC (Central Information Commissioner) but also before many other organizations like DoP&T, Min of Defence, Min of Road Transport & Highways and BRDB who are also dragged and have to attend such hearing before the CIC arising out of some frivolous accusations made by some irresponsible GREF employees.

A excerpt from CIC's order issued in one of such recent order is quoted below:-

"The Commission finds that the respondents have responded to the queries of the appellant despite a spate of applications from him. The RTI Act cannot be permitted to be used as a tool for blackmailing or harassing a public authority and in the instant case, 5 Public Authorities." (In this case the applicant dragged HQ DGBR, Sectt BRDB, MORTH, DoP&T and MoD).

Please enlighten all employees under your command through GREF Sammelans that although there is no bar on raising question under RTI Act but its provisions should be used responsibly in a responsible manner

This has the approval of DDG (Pers).

(A Chattopadhyay)

Dir (Admin)

For Dir Gen Border Roads

Internal Copy to:-

All Dtes of HQ DGBR

For info of all concerned employees of this HQ.

### TO BE HANDED OVER ON RELIEF

Delhi Tele: 011-25696962 Email: bro-pers@.nic.in

Website: http://www.bro.gov.in

Registered /SDS

Headquarters
Dte General Border Roads
Seema Sadak Bhawan
Ring Road, Delhi Cantt
New Delhi-110 010

11022/DGBR/P/ 101/RTI Cell 2.3 Apr 2015

HQ CE (P) \_\_\_\_ PIN 9317\_\_\_, C/O 56/99 APO Eastern Base Workshop (GREF) PIN – 931701, C/O 99 APO

GREF Records/Centre Dighi Camp, Pune-15

Office of OSD (BRO)

BRO Complex, Jhalukbari, Guwahati-781014

Research & Development Estt (BRO)

PIN-931723 C/O 99 APO

# IMPLEMENTATION OF SUO-MOTO DISCLOSURE UNDER SECTION-4 OF RIGHT TO INFORMATION (RTI) ACT 2005 AND GUIDELINES THEREOF

- 1. A copy of Govt of India, Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances & Pensions (Deptt of Personnel & Training) New Delhi OM No. 1/6/2011-IR dated 15 Apr 2013, copy received under Sectt BRDB letter No. BRDB/04/738/2008/Works dated 14 Jan 2015, is forwarded herewith for your information, perusal and compliance as per Section 4 of RTI Act 2005.
- 2. The competent authority has directed to upload the following suo-moto information in our website in compliance of DoP&T guidelines:-

S/No	Particulars of information to be uploaded in public domain (deptt website)	Action to be taken by
(a)	Information related to procurement (Refer Para 1.1 of Guidelines)	All Dte/ Projects involved in procurement to strictly comply with the DoP&T instructions as per their OM dated 15 Apr 2013. Also refer this HQ letter No. 24661/ POL/ / E-Proc / DGBR / 28 / EDP dated 05 Mar 2015.
(b)	Posting Policy & Posting orders (Refer Para 1.3 of Guidelines)	The requisite information is already uploaded on BRO website by Dte/E1A and GREF Records in respect of GREF Officers & subordinates respectively. Same should be updated, on occurrence.
(c)	RTI applications, Appeals & their responses (Refer Para 1.4 of Guidelines)	The special feature of "search function" of RTI applications, appeals and their responses will be incorporated by Dte/EDP in website.
(d)	Publication of CAG & PAC Paras and Action Taken Reports (ATRs) which have been laid down on the table of both Houses of Parliament	These issues will be uploaded when applicable.

# HQ DGBR letter No.11022/DGBR/P/101/RTI Cell &3Apr 2015 contd...

- The competent authority has also directed that balance issues as instructed by MoD (Border Roads) will be added/uploaded, as and when applicable.
- 4. In view of the above, Projects/Establishments are requested to implement the DoP&T guidelines related to above issues as approved by the competent authority.

(DK Kashyap) Sr Adm Officer

CPIO

For Dir Gen Border Roads

Encls: Sheets.

<u>Internal</u>

Copy to:-

ADGBR Sectt

**OSD Sectt** 

For info please.

Dte/EDP Cell

 Alongwith a copy of DoP&T above OM for information, perusal and compliance please.

Dte/TA

 Alongwith a copy of DoP&T above OM for information, perusal and compliance please. Also refer Dte/EDP letter No. 24661/ POL//E-Proc/DGBR/28/EDP dated 05 Mar 2015

DGBR/E1A DGBR/EG2 DGBR/E2 (Audit) Alongwith a copy of DoP&T above OM for perusal and strict compliance.

All Dtes

 Alongwith a copy of DoP&T above OM for perusal, information and record of all sections of your Dte, as applicable.